

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,909	07/21/2003	Scott L. Hamilton	716-01-PA 5355		
22145 7	590 11/08/2006		EXAMINER		
KLEIN, O'NEILL & SINGH, LLP			TRAN, HANH VAN		
43 CORPORA SUITE 204	TE PARK		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
IRVINE, CA	92606		3637		
			DATE MAILED: 11/08/2006	DATE MAILED: 11/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/623,909	HAMILTON, SCOTT L.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hanh V. Tran	3637	

	Haili V. Hail	3037			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Normal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, at otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final reject	ion.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).	ILTINOT NEI ET WAST	ILLD WITTIM		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply ori er than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two mont	hs of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A blief in com- filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the	ne appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NC	OTE below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	ow);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected ciaims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		omphant Amendment	(I TOL-024).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a)) Nowable if submitted in a senarate	timely filed amendm	ent canceling the		
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile that status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10-12 and 14-28. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	ovided below or appended.	·	explanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t before an an the data of filing a l	Notice of Appeal will p	ot he entered		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	out does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)					
13. Other:	amama				
LANNA MAI					
SUE	PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER				
	ECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600				
	FOUNDEDOL OFINIED 2000				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: new issues include at least the proposed changes to claim 12, lines 8-9 of the outer track having "a stud extending from an exterior surface of the outer track". Please note that the presently propose changes to claim 12 appears to incorporated the limitations of claim 14. However, it fails to include the slot being "longitudinal", and the outer track having "a pair of studs", with said studs "extending through the slot". In regard to claim 15, line 3, "resilient urging means" should be "biasing means".